Quantitative Mass Spectrometric Immunoassay of Insulin Like Growth Factor 1
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Reported in this work are the development of mass spectrometric immunoassay (MSIA) devices and methods for the qualitative analysis of IGF-1 and -2, and the rigorous quantification of IGF-1 from human plasma. A method involving addition of SDS in moderate concentration to unfraccionated plasma for disrupting IGF/IGFBP complexes was initially developed. The method is suitable for the direct extraction of the IGFs and subsequent mass spectrometric analysis. Rat plasma, containing IGF-1 that is mass shifted from human IGF-1, was used as an internal reference standard (IRS) for the quantification of IGF-1 directly from human plasma. A standard curve with linear dynamic range of at least 2 orders of magnitude was constructed from serially diluted IGF-1 standards containing equal amounts of rat plasma. Using the standard curve, IGF-1 levels in plasma samples from eight individuals were determined. The limit of detection for the IGF-1 MSIA was also evaluated and established to be ~15 pM. The assay is rapid and can be performed in parallel via high-throughput robotics processing. Furthermore, the mass spectrometry aspect of the developed IGF-1 immunoassay offers a new dimension in the ongoing study of IGF-1 and related diseases.
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Introduction

Insulin like growth factors, which include IGF-1 and IGF-2, are circulating peptides involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. Small in size, the IGFs share significant sequence (structural) homology with pro-insulin and are known to interact with two transmembrane receptors (IGF-1R and IGF-2R) and a family of at least six circulating binding proteins (IGFBP-1 thru -6), which regulate the availability of IGFs to interact with the IGF receptors.1 Normal IGF-1 levels in plasma range between 20 and 600 μg/L, dependent on the age and sex of the individual.2,3 Plasma levels are known to increase from the normal age- and sex-stratified levels in response to acromegaly and decrease in growth hormone deficient children.4 Moreover increased levels of IGF-1 have been implicated as prognostic/diagnostic markers for several cancers, most notably, prostate cancer.5-7

IGF-1 levels in plasma are typically measured by radio-immunoassay (RIA) or immuno-radiometric assay (IRMA).8,9 Recently, these assays have been expanded upon to include chemiluminescence and mass spectrometry-based detection.3,10,11 Regarding the use of mass spectrometry for the quantification of IGF-1, de Kock et al. have reported on a method in which electrospray ionization (ESI) ion-trap-MS is used to detect IGF-1 and R3IGF-1 (human IGF-1 with Glu3 substituted by Arg3) after on-line liquid chromatography (LC); the R3IGF-1 serving as a mass-resolved internal standard for the subsequent quantification of IGF-1.11 Likewise, Bobin et al. used the same internal standard in the preliminary development of a strikingly similar LC-ESI/MS based approach.12 Both authors made note of the presence of variably oxidized versions of the internal standard, which required judicious treatment of the mass spectrometric data in order to achieve quantitative linearity – with de Kock et al. and Bobin et al. using the non-oxidized and di-oxidized versions of R3IGF-1 as internal standards, respectively. Additionally, at the time of the reports, both studies were focused on the demonstration of useable working curves using bottled standards and neither study was applied in the analysis of plasma-borne IGF-1, which requires the disruption of in vivo IGF/IGFBP (see below). These idiosyncrasies notwithstanding, these reports represent some of the first examples of applying proteomics approaches, mass spectrometry in particular, to the quantification of IGF-1 in various organisms.

In past works, we have demonstrated the use of a hybrid proteomics approach, mass spectrometric immunoassay (MSIA), in quantifying proteins present in biological fluids.12-14 Briefly, the approach is that of using affinity isolation to simultaneously extract a target protein and a suitable internal standard from the fluid in preparation for MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry.14 Given appropriate experimental design, the target protein and internal standard register as distinct signals in the mass spectrum, and their relative ion signals are used in the rigorous quantification of the target protein. The approach takes on a practical aspect by using devices specifically tailored to the task of extracting the proteins for mass spectrometric detection.
Accordingly, we have devoted much effort in perfecting such devices, in the form of affinity pipet tips, and demonstrated their use in the analysis of several plasma and urine-borne human proteins.13–20 As part of ongoing studies, we report here the development of devices and methods for the qualitative analysis of IGF-1 and -2, and the rigorous quantification of IGF-1, from human plasma. Areas of investigation included: (1) Determining a method for disrupting IGF/IGFBP complexes that is suitable for the direct extraction of the IGFs (for subsequent mass spectrometric analysis), (2) Choice of an internal reference standard (IRS) that is cross-reactive with the extraction antibody and is sufficiently resolved from the human IGF-1, (3) Constructing usable working curves, (4) The application of the approach to a small sample population, and (5) Determining the limit of detection of the approach.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials.** CDI (1,1′-Carbonyldimidazole)-activated affinity pipet tips were prepared and derivatized with rabbit anti-human IGF-1 antibody (Cell Sciences; Canton, MA), or in combination with rabbit anti-human IGF-2 polyclonal antibody (Cell Sciences; Canton, MA), as previously described.13 For the development of the assay, human blood was obtained from a single subject recruited from within Intrinsic Bioproses Inc. (IBI), following a procedure approved by the IBI’s Institutional Review Board (IRB), only after reading and signing an Informed Consent form. In short, 50 μL human blood was drawn under sterile conditions from a lancet-punctured finger with a heparinized microcolumn (Drummond Scientific Co., Broomall, PA), mixed with 50 μL of HEPES buffered saline (HBS-EP) buffer (0.01 M HEPES, pH 7.4, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.005% (v/v) polysorbate 20, 3 mM EDTA) and centrifuged for 30 s (at 7000 rpm, 2500 × g) to pellet the red blood cells. The supernatant (2× diluted plasma) was used for a single assay as described below. Additional human plasma samples that were used in the population experiments were purchased from ProMedDX (Norton, MA).

**Mass Spectrometric Immunoassay.** Forty μL of human plasma was mixed with 60 μL of HEPES buffered saline (HBS-EP) and 60 μL of 0.5% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulfate, agitated with a vortexer and allowed to stand at room temperature. After 10-min, an additional 840 μL of HBS-EP was added (for a total analytical volume of 1 mL) and the IGFs were extracted by repeatedly (50 repetitions) drawing and expelling (back into the analytical volume) 200 μL aliquots of the analytical volume through an anti-IGF affinity pipet. After extraction, the pipets were rinsed using HPS-EP, H2O, acetonitrile:water (20:80; v/v) and H2O (in this order, each rinse 10-min, an additional 840 μL of plasma were added to the mixture (the rat IGF-1 present in the plasma served as an IRS), and 3) A 40 μL aliquot, instead of 60 μL aliquot, of HBS-EP was used to make volume during the dissociation of the complexes. Eight standard samples, with IGF-1 concentrations ranging from 7.8 μg/L to 1000 μg/L were prepared and assayed in parallel using an octa-pipet fitted with anti-IGF-1 affinity pipets. Five 100 laser-shot mass spectra were taken for each sample. Signals (peak heights) for the rat and human IGF-1 were integrated to baseline and the hIGF-1 signal normalized to the rIGF-1 (peak heights) for the rat and human IGF-1 were integrated to baseline and the hIGF-1 signal normalized to the rIGF-1—a baseline correction was performed on all samples. The resulting response versus hIGF-1 plot was correlated using linear least-squares fit, with error bars reflecting the standard error of each point.

**Application to Sample Population.** Samples from eight individuals were prepared as described in the previous section, with the exception of replacing the IGF-1 standard with a 40 μL aliquot of undiluted plasma. All other steps remained the same. Five spectra (100 laser shot each) were taken from each sample and the data treated as described in the previous section.

**Determination of the Limit of Detection.** Samples were prepared for limit of detection studies by serially diluting the plasma of one of the individuals and treating 40 μL aliquots as described in the MSIA protocol described above. Additionally, IGF-1 stock (US Biological, Swampscott, MA) was serially diluted with HBS-EP buffer containing 1.0 g/L human serum albumin carrier, and assayed at low concentrations.

**Results and Discussion**

**Disruption of IGF/IGFBP Complexes.** Approximately 99% of plasma IGFs circulate in complex with IGFBPs and acid labile subunit (ALS),21 creating the need to free the IGF prior to analysis. The most common methods employed in releasing IGF-1 for conventional immunological assays use acid disruption of the complex followed by treatment with ethanol or hydrophilic interaction chromatography to, respectively, precipitate or scavenge IGFBPs from solution.22,23 Oftentimes, the removal of IGFBPs is incomplete and these procedures are augmented by the addition of exogenous IGF-2 in order to compete with residual (free) IGFBPs prior to analysis of IGF-1—essentially precluding the re-binding of IGFBP to IGF-1 by saturating binding sites with IGF-2. These procedures are not compatible with the MSIA approach for two reasons. First, the procedures require that any quantitative measurements be corrected with respect to extraction efficiency, which must be determined for each approach and can vary dependent on ambient conditions. (From a fundamental analytical point of view, if at all possible, it is preferred to perform quantitative analyses using a procedure that extracts the analyte directly from the plasma, rather than one that fortifies the plasma with the analyte by indirectly extracting interferences.) And second, the procedures preclude the analysis of IGF-2 from the processed plasma sample. Thus, alternate methods for the disruption of the IGF/IGFBP complex were investigated.

Figure 1 shows mass spectra comparing two sample preparations. In the first sample, no attempts were made to disrupt the IGF/IGFBP complexes (i.e., plasma was simply diluted in HBS-EP). In the second sample, complexes were disrupted by adding SDS to the plasma sample to a concentration of ~0.02%.
Briefly, the approach was that of disrupting the IGF/IGFBP complexes using a moderate concentration of SDS, which was then diluted to a lower concentration (so not to interfere with the extraction of the IGFs) prior to MSIA. To gauge the ability to extract both (endogenous) IGF-1 and IGF-2, MSIA-Tips derivatized with both anti-IGF-1 and anti-IGF-2 polyclonal antibodies were used in the investigations. The nontreated sample shows signals at \( m/z = 6629.4 \) and 6431.1 due to nonspecifically retained apolipoproteins C-I and C-I', and no discernible signals from either of the IGFs. The treated sample, however, shows strong signals at \( m/z = 7400.2, 7469.6, \) and 7650.3, corresponding to des(Ala)-IGF-2, IGF-2, and IGF-1 (MW(calc) = 7398.3, 7469.4, and 7648.7, respectively). In other studies along these lines (data not shown), it was found that the limits of SDS treatment were \( 0.002\% \) to \( 0.2\% \), at which point the treatment either did not disrupt the complexes or interfered with the MSIA process, respectively. These results are in agreement with findings utilizing BIA/MS technology in the study of bound and free IGF-1 and -2.24

**Selection of Internal Reference Standard.** Internal reference standards (IRS) are required for the quantitative MALDI-TOF MS analysis of polypeptides.25 Preferably, the internal standards share properties similar to those of the analyte, which, in the case of MSIA, is accomplished through the use of mass-shifted analogues or homologues of the target protein.12 Importantly, the internal reference is present in all sample preparation steps, i.e., it is retrieved, rinsed, eluted and MALDI-analyzed simultaneously with the target protein. Although chemically modified versions of the target protein serve well as IRS,25 there are occasions when the same protein from a different animal species can be used.13,14 Considering a molecular weight of 7650 and a mass resolution of \( \sim 900 \) (m/dm fwhm), the preferred internal reference should be mass shifted by at least 30 Da from the human IGF-1. Additionally, the IRS needs to cross-react with the immobilized polyclonal anti-IGF-1 antibody. Accordingly, plasmas from several animal species were evaluated—by database searching to eliminate inter-species IGF-1 isoforms that have molecular weights not easily resolved from human IGF-1, and empirical screening to determine cross-reactivity using a given antibody and experimental conditions—to determine their suitability for use as IRS. Figure 2 shows the results of performing IGF-1 MSIA on human and rat plasma and a mixture of both plasmas. All spectra show little nonspecific binding and strong signal from both the human and rat IGF-1 (labeled hIGF-1 and rIGF-1, respectively). Important to the development of the quantitative human IGF-1 MSIA, the signals for the human and rat IGF-1 are fully resolved from each other.

**Standard Protocol and Generation of Working Curve.** A quantitative working curve was generated by replacing the human plasma sample with a 40 \( \mu \)L aliquot of hIGF-1 at a known concentration. A serial dilution series (factor of 2) of eight standard samples was used in the construction of the working curve. Results of the working curve standards are shown in Figure 3. The curve, covering an IGF-1 concentration range of 7.8 \( \mu \)g/L to 1000 \( \mu \)g/L (0.3–40 \( \mu \)g/L analytical sample concentration range), is linear over this range.
investigation of the limit of detection. Dilution series ranging to a concentration to determine the nonquantitative lower limit of detection of development of an IGF-1 MSIA, a final study was undertaken to have an IGF-1 concentration of $18 \pm 1 \mu g/L$ (signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) better than 20) is observed for the standard and plasma dilution series at a concentration of $15 \pm 0.8 \mu g/L$ for the standard solution and diluted plasma, respectively. These results indicate a lower limit of detection on the order of $\sim 15 \mu M$ for the MSIA assay, which is comparable to the limit of detection of a commercially available sandwich ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).

Conclusion

It is becoming readily apparent that the field of proteomics, which for the past decade has been used mainly to identify/discover proteins in biological systems (largely with mass spectrometry), is rapidly crossing over into the arena of human clinical biology. In doing so, there emerges a pressing need for translating results derived from discoveries (whether they be past, present or future) into targeted, high information content analyses. Ideally, such analyses are able to structurally characterize and quantify a given protein, and can be applied to lower-level proteins when in the presence of other proteins. Presented here has been the development of a mass spectrometric immunoassay, for insulin-like growth factor 1, that is comparable to the limit of detection of a standard IGF-1 ELISA.$^{26}$ Note: For convenience, the working curve is plotted as relative intensity versus IGF-1 concentration in plasma. However, the concentration of the IGF-1 exposed to the MSIA-tip is actually $1/25^{th}$ of the plotted concentration, or $0.3-40 \mu g/L$, due to the dilutions made during analysis.

Quantification of IGF-1 in Human Plasmas. Plasma samples from eight healthy individuals were analyzed to evaluate any unforeseen difficulties that may be encountered in the analysis of multiple individuals. All sample preparation, extraction, rinsing and depositions steps were performed in parallel with the aid of an octa-pipet. The total time required for analysis of the eight samples was approximately 1-hour. Figure 4 shows the results of the screening. With the help of the working curve shown in Figure 3, the plasma IGF-1 concentration was determined to range between 149 and $589 \mu g/L$ for the eight individuals, which is consistent with literature values for healthy individuals.$^3$ Although only a small study, these results show much promise in the application of the IGF-1 MSIA to larger study populations using, for instance, robotic workstations and automated MALDI-TOF MS.$^{14-16}$

Investigation of the Limit of Detection. In keeping with the development of an IGF-1 MSIA, a final study was undertaken to determine the nonquantitative lower limit of detection of the MSIA approach. Dilution series ranging to a concentration low of $0.1 \mu g/L$ were prepared from (commercially available) IGF-1 standard, and plasma sample #8 (which was determined to have an IGF-1 concentration of $181 \mu g/L$, see Figure 4). Figure 5 shows the results of the investigation. Strong signal (signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) better than 20) is observed for the standard and plasma dilution series at a concentration of $\sim 1 \mu g/L$. Signal is still observed (with a S/N of at least 5), at concentrations of $0.1 \mu g/L$ and $0.18 \mu g/L$ for the standard solution and diluted plasma, respectively. These results indicate a lower limit of detection on the order of $\sim 15 \mu M$ for the MSIA assay, which is comparable to the limit of detection of a commercially available sandwich ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN).
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