Quality Requirements for Genomic DNA Preparations and Storage Conditions for a High-Density Oligonucleotide Microarray
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High-density oligonucleotide microarrays are widely used in genome-wide association studies. The purpose of this study was to assess the influence of various factors during the preparation of DNA on genotype calling for the Affymetrix high-density oligonucleotide microarray 250K GeneChip. DNA was extracted from peripheral whole blood by solution-based and silica-membrane-based methods. Blood was stored at 4°C or 25°C for 4 or 24 h, followed by DNA extraction. To examine the effects of freeze-thaw cycles, blood and DNA were also subjected to 5 and 10 or 20 of freeze-thaw cycles, respectively. The suitability of various DNA preparations for the array was assessed by the call rate resulting from genotyping. All DNA samples showed mean call rates of more than 0.99, which passed the quality criteria for genotyping (greater than 0.95). The results indicated that the solution-based method and the silica-membrane-based DNA extraction method could provide DNA of sufficient quality for genotyping. In addition, DNA quality suitable for high-density oligonucleotide microarrays is not strongly dependent on the preparation conditions under standard procedures.
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Recently, genome-wide association studies (GWASs) have been widely used to identify disease-susceptibility genes, pharmacogenomic genes, or genetic biomarkers.1–5) Thousands of GWASs have been performed and the number of publications has increased exponentially year-on-year,6,7) implying that findings from GWASs will lead to new therapeutic targets for drug development and novel diagnostic objectives for disease and drug responses. However, obtaining reliable GWAS results is the key to success.

Guidelines have been published from the International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use concerning the use of genetic biomarkers derived from pharmacogenomic data in drug development and their proper usage. These guidelines comprise: 1) Definitions for genomic biomarkers, pharmacogenomics, pharmacogenetics, genomic data and sample categories (ICH E15)7); and 2) recommendations regarding context, structure, and format of regulatory submissions for qualification of genomic biomarkers (ICH E16).8) However, requirements for DNA quality have neither been fully assessed nor issued as guidance in Japan. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) issued draft guidance (clinical pharmacogenomics: premarketing evaluation in early phase clinical studies) and a reflection paper (pharmacogenomic samples, testing and data handling), respectively, both of which contain little description of recommended preparation/handling procedures for maintaining genomic DNA quality.9,10) Practically, differences during the DNA preparation process, from blood collection to DNA storage, may affect genotyping results and their interpretation. Accordingly, we examined the influence of various factors, including methods of DNA extraction from whole blood, storage conditions of blood samples, and freeze-thaw cycles of DNA samples, on the genotype call rate, using a high-density oligonucleotide microarray that is widely used for GWAS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Blood Samples** Human peripheral blood samples from five healthy donors were obtained from ProMedDx (Norton, MA, U.S.A.), in which ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was used as an anticoagulant. The blood samples were frozen at −80°C within 2 h after blood collection and kept at −80°C until use. The ethics committees of the National Institute of Health Sciences approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all donors.

**Extraction of Genomic DNA** The whole blood was stored at 4 or 25°C for 0, 4 or 24 h before extraction. Genomic DNA was extracted from the peripheral whole blood by two different methods: a solution-based method using a Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega KK, Tokyo, Japan) and a silica-membrane-based method using NucleoSpin® Tissue (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Both methods were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the solution-based method (method 1) was based on a 4-step process. The first step involved lysis of the red blood cells, followed by lysis of the white blood cells and their nuclei. The cellular proteins were then removed by a salt-precipitation step, which left the high molecular weight genomic DNA in solution. Finally, the genomic DNA was concentrated and desalted by isopropanol precipitation, and then dissolved in TE buffer (10 m Tris–Cl, pH 7.4, 1 m EDTA, pH 8.0). The silica-membrane-based method (method 2) was simpler and quicker than method 1. Lysis was achieved by incubation of the blood sample in a proteinase K/SDS solution. Binding of DNA to the silica-membrane in the NucleoSpin® Tissue columns was effected by the addition of chaotropic salts and ethanol to the lysate. Contaminants were removed by subsequent washing with two different buffers. Pure genomic DNA was finally eluted using a low ionic strength buffer (5 m Tris–Cl, pH 8.5). Method 1 took more than 3.5 h, whereas method 2 took only 30 min.

**Exposure of Blood and DNA Samples to Freeze-Thaw**...
Cycles To examine the effect of freeze-thaw, blood and DNA samples were exposed to freeze-thaw cycles by placing the set of samples into a ~80°C freezer for 10 min and then moving them into a water bath at 25°C until they were completely thawed. The freeze-thaw numbers were set at 5 for blood, and 10 or 20 for DNA, which are more excessive numbers than those usually accepted in regular laboratory work.

Assessment of DNA Quality and Genotyping The quality of the DNA prepared under various conditions was assessed by the genotyping call rate. Genotyping was performed, using 250 ng of DNA as starting material, with an Affymetrix GeneChip Human Mapping 250K Nsp Array (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA, U.S.A.) containing 262264 SNPs, according to the standard protocol recommended by the manufacturer. Fluorescence intensities were quantified using the Affymetrix array scanner 3000 7G. Data management and analyses were performed using the Affymetrix GeneChip Operating Software 4.1 and the Bayesian robust linear model with Mahalanobis distance classifier (BRLLM) algorithm. A call rate of more than 95% was defined as qualifying for GWAS.

Statistical Analyses Comparisons of genotyping call rates were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with repeated measurements, to evaluate the influence of the various preparation conditions on DNA quality. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The examined storage conditions for blood samples, methods for DNA extraction, and freeze-thaw cycles for blood and DNA are shown in Table 1. DNA was genotyped by a 250K SNP microarray to assess the quality requirements of various DNA preparations for GWAS. All conditions for DNA preparations/storage passed the quality criteria for genotyping, giving a call rate greater than 0.95 (Fig. 1). ANOVA showed that there were no statistically significant differences in the call rates among the nine different preparations of DNA (F(8, 32) = 1.4896, p = 0.1999).

Assessment of the DNA Extraction Methods on Genotype Calling Immediately after the frozen blood of five individuals was thawed, an aliquot of each DNA was extracted by the two different methods. The mean genotype call rate from method 1 was 0.9961, and from method 2 was 0.9953 (Fig. 1, columns 1, 8), both of which exceed the quality criteria, indicating that the quality of both methods was sufficient for high-density oligonucleotide microarrays. Method 1, however, is more complicated than method 2, and takes much longer to complete.

Influence of Blood Storage Conditions on Genotype Calling The effects of blood storage temperature and storage duration on genotype calling were assessed. Immediately after the frozen blood from five individuals was thawed, an aliquot of each sample was stored at 4 or 25°C for 4 or 24 h (Table 1). DNA was then extracted by method 1 and subjected to genotyping. All the mean call rates indicated high quality samples, with more than 99% ranging from 0.9915 to 0.9963 (Fig. 1, columns 4–7). The effect of repeated cycles of freezing and thawing during blood storage was then evaluated for five cycles. After the freeze-thaw cycles (between –80°C and 25°C) were completed, DNA was immediately extracted by method 2 and subjected to genotyping. The mean genotype call rate was 0.9942 (Fig. 1, column 9), which was of a similar high quality as that without freeze-thaw (Fig. 1, column 8). These results showed that none of the conditions for blood storage tested in this study had a negative effect on the genotype call rate. Therefore, it would be acceptable for preparing DNA for a GWAS study, even if the collected blood was accidentally left at room temperature for 24 h or was subjected up to five freeze-thaw cycles, for example by repeated power failures.

Influence of Freeze-Thaw Cycles of DNA on Genotype
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The data represent the mean ± S.D. of five individuals. The numbers under each column indicate the condition No. referred to in Table 1. There were no statistically significant differences among the call rates, as determined by one-way ANOVA with repeated measurements (F(8, 32) = 1.4896, p = 0.1999).

Table 1. Blood Storage Conditions, DNA Extraction Methods, and Freeze-Thaw Cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition No.</th>
<th>Blood storage</th>
<th>DNA extraction</th>
<th>Freeze-thaw cycles (blood)</th>
<th>Freeze-thaw cycles (DNA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4°C, 4 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>25°C, 4 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4°C, 24 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>25°C, 24 h</td>
<td>Solution-based</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>Silica-membrane</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>Silica-membrane</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Calling  The effect of further repeated cycles of freezing and thawing during the DNA storage was evaluated. The freeze-thaw cycles (between −80°C and 25°C) were conducted 10 and 20 times for samples prepared by method 1. After the cycles were complete, the DNA samples were subjected to genotyping using the microarray. The mean call rates were 0.9952 and 0.9955 for 10 and 20 cycles, respectively (Fig. 1, columns 2, 3), which were of a similar high quality as that without freeze-thaw (Fig. 1, column 1). In general, DNA solutions are stored frozen until analyzed, and thawed for subsequent analysis as necessary. The freeze-thaw process is repeated until analyses are completed, which could involve degradation of the DNA. However, our findings indicated that the freezing and thawing process (up to 20 cycles) inflicted no significant damage on the DNA in terms of the genotyping call rate.

CONCLUSION

In this study, we examined various possible factors that could affect genomic DNA quality, including the DNA extraction method and storage conditions of blood and DNA, by assessing genotype call rate for high-density oligonucleotide microarrays. There were no statistically significant differences in the call rates among the different preparations of DNA examined. All the methods and conditions tested in this study resulted in high quality DNA that met the standard for GWAS, as determined by the genotype call rate. Up to 24 h at 25°C storage of blood, five freeze-thaw cycles of whole blood, and at least 20 freeze-thaw cycles of DNA were acceptable. The easy and fast silica-membrane-based DNA extraction method generated DNA of similar quality to the solution-based method. As for long-term storage of DNA, genotype call rates of more than 99% were reported by other researchers using DNA samples stored at 4°C for more than 10 years in Tris-EDTA buffer. Therefore, it is expected that DNA of suitable quality for high-density oligonucleotide microarrays could be obtained if treated using conventional manipulations and under standard conditions.
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